Cytogenet Genome Res 113:202–208 (2006) DOI: 10.1159/000090833

Cytogenetic and Genome Research

Cross-species clues of an epigenetic imprinting regulatory code for the *IGF2R* gene

T.H. Vu^a R.L. Jirtle^b A.R. Hoffman^a

 ^aMedical Service and GRECC, VA Palo Alto Health Care System and Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA (USA)
 ^bDepartment of Radiation Oncology, University Program in Genetics and Genomics, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC (USA)

Manuscript received 15 June 2005; accepted in revised form for publication by F. Ishino, 17 August 2005.

Abstract. The epigenetic marks on the *IGF2R* gene that encodes a receptor responsible for IGF-II degradation consist of differentially methylated DNA in association with multiple modifications on the associated histones. We review these epigenetic marks across various species during the evolution of IGF2R imprinting. Both IGF2 and IGF2R genes are imprinted in the mammal lineage that diverged from Monotremata approximately 150 million years ago. While *IGF2* is consistently imprinted in all mammals following its divergence, IGF2R imprinting disappears in the Euarchonta lineage, including human species, approximately 75 million years ago. Differential DNA methylation marks on the two parental alleles correlate with imprinting in all imprinted genes including IGF2R. While the DNA methylation marks in the IGF2R promoter region 1 (DMR1) correlate with IGF2R allelic expression, the DNA methylation marks in the intron region 2 (DMR2) fail to correlate with IGF2R imprinting status in a number of species. Human IGF2R and mouse neuronal Igf2r are not imprinted despite the presence of DMR2. We have noted that human *IGF2R* is not imprinted in more than 100 informative samples including various tumor tissues. Furthermore, opossum (Marsupialia) IGF2R is consistently imprinted despite the absence of DMR2. These lines of evidence indicate that DNA methylation marks in DMR2 are neither necessary nor sufficient for consistent imprinting of IGF2R across species. Histone modification marks, however, correlate more consistently with the tissuespecific and species-specific imprinting status of *IGF2R* in human and mouse. Acetvlated histone H3 and H4 and methylated lysine 4 of H3 (H3-K4Me) associate with transcriptionally active alleles while tri-methylated lysine 9 of H3 (H3-K9Me3) marks the silenced alleles. In the mouse, an antisense non-coding transcript called Air is transcribed from DMR2 on the paternal allele, and this imprinted transcript plays a central role in Igf2r imprinting. Mouse Igf2r imprinting depends on an Air RNA while the existence of AIR in other species is unknown. Overall, DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and histone methylation play a vital role in coordinating *IGF2R* allelic expression across all species. Rare monoallelic or skewed allelic expression of human IGF2R and their biological importance warrants further rigorous study.

Copyright © 2006 S. Karger AG, Basel

The mannose 6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor (M6P/IGF2R), hereafter referred to simply as IGF2R, is a large gene composed of 48 exons over 138 kilobases on human chromosome 6q26. The protein encoded by IGF2R is not involved in hormone signaling, but instead one of its pri-

Request reprints from Andrew R. Hoffman Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine Palo Alto, CA 94304 (USA) telephone: +1-650-858-3930; fax: +1-650-856-8024 e-mail: arhoffman@stanford.edu mary functions is to decrease the concentration of the potent mitogen, IGF2 (insulin-like growth factor 2). After the receptor binds IGF2, the hormone-receptor complex is internalized and the growth factor is degraded. This receptor is a multifunctional protein, which is also known as the cation independent mannose-6-phosphase (M6P) receptor, as it binds a variety of M6P-containing glycoproteins, shuttling them to the lysosome (Lau et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1994; Ludwig et al., 1996; Melnick et al., 1998; Motyka et al., 2000).

Igf2r was the first gene shown to be imprinted in the mouse (Barlow et al., 1991). It is maternally expressed in all adult



Fax +41 61 306 12 34 E-Mail karger@karger.ch www.karger.com © 2006 S. Karger AG, Basel 1424–8581/06/1134–0202\$23.50/0 Accessible online at: www.karger.com/cgr mouse tissues except for brain, where it is transcribed from both parental alleles (Wang et al., 1994; Hu et al., 1999). Interestingly, *IGF2R* was initially found to be not imprinted in humans (Kalscheuer et al., 1993); however, preferential expressions of the maternal *IGF2R* allele in placenta and in several tissues in early fetal life were subsequently reported, but monoallelic expression was seen in only a small minority of individuals who were sampled (Xu et al., 1993; Oudejans et al., 2001). The *IGF2R* locus is also one of many loci linked to susceptibility to insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (designated *IDDM8*). An SNP in this gene showed transmission distortion, but only in maternally transmitted alleles, suggesting a link to type 1 diabetes that is dependent on imprinted gene expression (McCann et al., 2004).

While *IGF2R* is imprinted in eutherians (mice: Barlow et al., 1991; rats: Mills et al., 1998; and pigs, cows, and sheep: Killian et al., 2001c) and marsupials (opossum: Killian et al., 2000), it is expressed biallelically in more ancestral mono-tremes (platypus and echidna) and aves (chickens) (Killian et al., 2000; Nolan et al., 2001). Moreover, there is evidence that imprinting at the *IGF2R* locus was lost approximately 75 million years ago in a common ancestor that gave rise to the near primate and primates in the Euarchonta group of eutherian mammals (Killian et al., 2001c). Thus, despite carrying some of the epigenetic marks that are considered to be components of imprinting, the experimental data are most parsimonious with human *IGF2R* being biallelically expressed in the majority of humans in both normal and pathologic tissues (Killian et al., 2001c).

There is also evidence that the IGF2R functions as a tumor suppresser gene, i.e. loss of a single allele might lead to an oncogenic diathesis suppressor (De Souza et al., 1995; Hankins et al., 1996). Inactivation of IGF2R may represent an early mechanism involved in liver, breast, lung, prostate, and gastrointestinal malignancies. A series of pioneer studies by Jirtle's group (De Souza et al., 1995; Hankins et al., 1996; Yamada et al., 1997; Kong et al., 2000; Jamieson et al., 2003), and by others (Piao et al., 1997; Oates et al., 1998) have clearly shown that loss of heterozygosity (LOH) or mutation of *IGF2R* occurs frequently in both premalignant dysplastic lesions and tumors. Gain of monoallelic expression of IGF2R has also been observed in a subset of Wilms' tumors (Xu et al., 1997); however, we did not observe this phenomenon in a study of more than 100 normal and neoplastic tissues, including Wilms' tumor (Killian et al., 2001c; Li et al, unpublished).

The evolutionarily divergent imprint status of IGF2R prompted us to further investigate the epigenetic marks involved in regulating imprinting at this locus. In this review, we summarize the DNA methylation and histone modification marks in the human and mouse IGF2R genes, and analyze the limited epigenetic data available for mammalian species ancestral to eutherians. Further information on mouse Igf2r imprinting is presented in this special issue (Regha et al., 2006). Recent reviews on imprinting evolution in general, and in the context of DNA methylation dynamics have been published (Geiman and Robertson, 2002; Murphy and Jirtle, 2003; Wilkins and Haig, 2003; Wilkins, 2005).

Evolution of *IGF2R* imprinting differs from that of *IGF2* imprinting

IGF2 and the gene encoding the receptor responsible for its degradation, IGF2R, are imprinted in most mammals. The evolutionary onset of the imprinting of both IGF2 and IGF2R dates from the Jurassic era, approximately 150 million years ago (Killian et al., 2001c) (see Fig. 1). While neither IGF2 nor IGF2R are imprinted in egg-laying animals (e.g., chickens of the Aves family) and the egg-laying mammals (e.g., platypuses and echidnas of the Monotremata clade) (O'Neill et al., 2000; Nolan et al., 2001; Yokomine et al., 2001), both genes are monoallelically expressed in marsupials (e.g., Virginia and gray short-tailed opossums: Killian et al., 2000, 2001a; O'Neill et al., 2000). IGF2 is consistently imprinted in all mammals after the divergence from Monotremata (Killian et al., 2001b; Weidman et al., 2004). In contradistinction, IGF2R imprinting is maintained in artiodactyls (e.g., sheep, cow, pig: Young et al., 2001, 2003) and in rodents (mouse and rat), but biallelic expression of the gene appeared in the Euarchonta lineage which includes lemurs and humans following its divergence (Killian et al., 2001a, c) (Fig. 1). The potential mechanisms underlying the disappearance of IGF2R imprinting from the primate lineage over 75 million years ago are not well understood. Changes in some of the epigenetic marks at the IGF2R locus, including the differentially methvlated regions of DNA (DMRs), histone modifications, and the presence/absence of IGF2R antisense message may underlie this evolutionary loss of *IGF2R* imprinting.

DNA methylation: allelic DNA methylation marks correlate with *IGF2R* imprinting in a number of species, but not in humans or opossums

Imprinting of mouse *Igf2r* depends upon the presence of an intron CpG island and an antisense transcript, *Air* (Wutz et al., 1997). The CpG island in intron 2 (region 2) of *Igf2r* is methylated on the maternal allele, which constitutes the differentially methylated region 2 (DMR2); DMR1 lies in the promoter region of the gene. DMR2 harbors a 113-bp methylation-imprinting box consisting of a de novo methylation signal (DNS) and an allele-discrimination signal (ADS) that may be involved in the establishment of its methylation (Birger et al., 1999); however, the mouse methylation-imprinting box has not been demonstrated in other species.

The presence of DMR2 correlates with *IGF2R* imprinting in rodents and in artiodactyls (sheep, cow, and pig). The absence of DMR2 also corresponds with the absence of *IGF2R* imprinting in ringtail lemur and tree shrew (Euarchonta), as well as the platypus (Fig. 1) (Killian et al., 2001b, c). In contrast, humans present an exception to this simple correlation: despite the presence of DMR2, human *IGF2R* is not imprinted in the great majority of the population (Kalscheuer et al., 1993; Smrzka et al., 1995; Riesewijk et al., 1996, 1998), The opossum (Marsupialia) presents yet another exception to this simple correlation: despite the absence of DMR2, opossum *IGF2R* is consistently imprinted (Killian et al., 2001c). This

			_		Evolution in IGF2R imprinting and DNA methylation							
					Allelic expression		Binding function of IGF2R protein		Region 2			
			_		IGF2	IGF2R	M6P-	IGFII-	Allelic DMR2	Presence of <i>Air</i>		
		75 million years ago	Euarchonta	Human	Pat	Bi-allelic	+	+	Present	Absent		
Γ				Lemur	(Mono)	Bi-allelic	+	+	Absent	nd		
				Tree shrew	(Mono)	Bi-allelic	+	+	Absent	nd		
	0	_	entia	Mouse	Pat	Mat	+	+	Present	Present		
	million years ag		Rod	Rat	Pat	Mat	+	+	Present	nd		
			/la	Sheep	Pat	Mat	+	+	Present	nd		
	150	Marsupialia	rtiodact)	Cow	Pat	Mat	+	+	nd	nd		
			A	Pig	Pat	Mat	+	+	nd	nd		
\square				Opossum	(Mono)	(Mono)	+	+	Absent	nd		
			otremata	Platypus	Bi-allelic	Bi-allelic	+	No-site	Absent	nd		
			Mon	Echidna	Bi-allelic	Bi-allelic	+	No-site	Absent	nd		
L		Aves	_	Chicken	Bi-allelic	Bi-allelic	+	No-site	Absent	nd		

Fig. 1. Divergent evolution in *IGF2* and *IGF2R* imprinting and phylogenetic distribution of DMR2/*Air*. The evolutionary onset of *IGF2* and *IGF2R* imprinting dates to approximately 150 million years ago, thus imprinting at these two loci evolved with the advent of live birth in Therian mammals (Killian et al., 2001b). Approximately 75 million years ago imprinting of *IGF2R* (i.e. maternal, monoallelic expression) disappeared in the Euarchonta lineage that includes the primates. Thus, while *IGF2R* is biallelically expressed in humans, *IGF2* is imprinted and expressed only

from the paternal allele in all Therian mammals investigated. *IGF2R* encodes a receptor that contains a binding site (+) for M6P-containing glycoproteins in all species, whereas the binding site (+) for IGF2 is only present in Therian mammals. The presence of the allelic DMR in region 2 has not been verified in some species (nd = not determined). *Air* is present in mouse, absent in human, and has not been determined in other species. The horizontal line dividing the mammalian clades denotes the evolutionary onset of *IGF2* and *IGF2R* imprinting.

dissociation between IGF2R imprinting and the existence of a DMR2 is also evident in the Rodentia family, where Igf2ris biallelically expressed in CNS even in the presence of DMR2 (Wang et al., 1994; Hu et al., 1999). These lines of evidence indicate that DMR2 is neither necessary nor sufficient for imprinting of IGF2R. Thus, we speculated that histone modifications, rather than the DNA methylation, would be more consistently correlated with the imprint status of IGF2R (Vu et al., 2004).

Histone code: histone modifications mark the tissuespecific and species-specific imprinting status of *IGF2R* in human and mouse

Nucleosomes consist of DNA wrapped around an octamer of histones (four heterodimers of canonical histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4). The extension of the histones' aminoterminal tails away from the central core allow for various modifications. These post-translational modifications include reversible acetylation, phosphorylation, ADP-ribosylation,

Epigenetic marks and IGF2R imprinting

	Epigenetic model	Imprinting		Epigenetic marks			
	& expression	status	DMR		DMH		
	Region 1 Region 2	R	legion 1	Region 2	Region 1	Region 2	
Human (Primate)		No	Absent	DMR	Absent	Absent	
Lemur Tree shrew (Primates)	$ \begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & $	No	nd	No CpG	nd	nd	
Brain Mouse (Rodent)	Ac K4-Me K9-Me3 MMM Ac K4-Me AC K4-Me Ac K4-Me AC K4-Me	No	Absent	DMR	Absent	DMH	
Liver	Ac K4-Me K9-Me3 AC K4-Me MMM AC K4-Me Air	Yes	DMR	DMR	DMH	DMH	
Sheep (Artiodactyl)		Yes	nd	DMR	nd	nd	
Opossum (Marsupial)	$ \begin{array}{c} $	Yes	nd	No CpG	nd	nd	
Platypus (Monotreme)	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ \end{array} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \\ \bullet \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \\ \bullet \end{array} \phantom{aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa$	No	nd	No CpG	nd	nd	
ę 💳	Maternal CpG island	Ac	Acety	l Lys, H3 an	d H4		
ø"	Paternal CpG island	● K4-Me Methyl Lys 4 of H3					
х	Absence of CpG island	• K9-Me	• K9-Me3 Tri-methyl Lys 9 of H3				
MMM	Methylated DNA	DMR	VIR Differentially methylated region, [on, DNA	
nd	Not determined	DMH	Differ	entially mod	dified histon	e, histone	

Fig. 2. DNA methylation and histone modification of IGF2R. Acetyl lysine (H3-Ac, H4-Ac) and methyl lysine 4 (H3-K4Me) mark the active allele in region 1 and region 2 of human and mouse IGF2R. DNA methylation and methyl lysine 9 (H3-K9Me) mark the silenced allele. Trimethyl lysine 9 (H3-K9Me3) marks the differentially methylated region 2 in mouse Igf2r. Differentially modified histone (DMH) of the two parental alleles correlates with IGF2R and Air imprinting in human and in mouse (brain and peripheral tissues). Histone modification has not been studied (nd = not determined) in species other than human and mouse. DMR in region 1 is present in mouse liver (DMR), but is absent in mouse brain and humans (absent), and has not been determined in other species. DMR in region 2 is present in human, mouse, and sheep (DMR); and absent (No CpG) in other species. Yamasaki and colleagues (2005) have recently established neurons and glial cells from primary cortical cultures. Glial cells demonstrated Igf2r imprinting with the epigenetic marks identical to those of peripheral tissues (shown here as the epigenetic model of mouse liver). Cultured neurons demonstrated a relaxation of Igf2r imprinting and its epigenetic model was compatible with the model of the mouse brain in this figure without the presence of Air. Lack of transcriptional activator(s) might correlate with the absence of the mouse Air in the neurons.

ubiquitination, and methylation. These modifications are specific for a particular histone and for specific amino acid residues. In particular, methylation of lysine and arginine represents a relatively stable modification. The array and combination of these histone modifications have been postulated to constitute a distinct histone code, which may govern the interaction between various proteins including the transcriptional initiation complex with target DNA sequences (Jenuwein and Allis, 2001; Spotswood and Turner, 2002; Turner, 2002; Grewal and Moazed, 2003). In a recent review, we have summarized the study of the histone code through the prism of the regulation of genomic imprinting (Hoffman and Vu, 2005).

We and others have used quantitative real-time PCR and chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) assays with specific antibodies against various acetyl- and methyl-histones to study the tissue-specific and species-specific imprinting status of IGF2R in human and mouse (Fournier et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2003; Vu et al., 2004). These results are summarized in Fig. 2. Acetylated histone H3 (Lys9 and Lys14) and acetylated histone H4 (Lys5, 8, 12, and 16) are enriched in the human IGF2R promoter regions of both parental alleles of human IGF2R, correlating with the biallelic expression of IGF2R in human. In murine peripheral tissues such as liver, acetylated histones are enriched predominantly in the *Igf2r* promoter region of the maternally expressed allele. In contrast, the acetylated histones are present in both parental alleles in mouse brain (CNS) or in primary cultured neurons (Yamasaki et al., 2005), correlating with the murine tissue-specific biallelic expression of Igf2r. Allele-specific histone-acetylation was also observed in the mouse DMR2 that harbors the promoter for the antisense transcript Air, which is paternally expressed in CNS and in peripheral tissues. There is no differential histone acetylation between the parental alleles in the human DMR2, and no human *IGF2R* antisense transcripts have been detected.

Methylation of Lys4 (H3-K4Me) and Lys9 (H3-K9Me) marks the active and silenced alleles, respectively. The patterns of histone methylation of the two parental alleles are similar to those of histone acetylation (Fig. 2). The lysine residues of histone H3 may be modified by mono-, di-, or trimethylation. Tri-methyl Lys9 (H3-K9Me3), but not di-methyl Lys9 (H3-K9Me2), specifically marks the suppressed maternal allele in the mouse DMR2 (region 2) harboring the antisense *Air* (Vu et al., 2004). In contrast to the allele-specific histone methylation between the two parental alleles in the human DMR. In summary, the available data indicate that histone modifications accurately mark the expressed and repressed alleles of the *IGF2R* in human and mouse.

IGF2R antisense: mouse *Igf2r* imprinting depends on an *Air* RNA while the existence of *AIR* in other species is unknown

In the mouse, an antisense non-coding transcript called Air is transcribed from DMR2 on the paternal allele, and this imprinted transcript plays a central role in *Igf2r* imprinting (Sleutels et al., 2002). Air is required for silencing Igf2r and two other maternally expressed, protein-coding genes on the paternal chromosome, Slc22a2 and Slc22a3. Truncating 96% of the Air transcript by inserting a polyadenylation site disrupts Igf2r/Slc22a2/Slc22a3 imprinting, but retains the methylation of DMR2 and the imprinting status of the truncated Air (Sleutels et al., 2002). Deleting or replacing the Igf2r promoter does not disrupt Air/Slc22a2/Slc22a3 imprinting, suggesting an intrinsic cis-silencing property of the Air RNA (Sleutels et al., 2003). The mechanism whereby Air regulates imprinting, either through transcription of the Air gene or by direct involvement of Air RNA, has not yet been determined.

While the potential role of *IGF2R* antisense transcripts in other species has not been examined, a putative and functional AIR, if it exists, should be maternally imprinted and should originate in an intronic CpG island (DMR2). As shown in Fig. 2, the CpG island in region 2 is not present in all species. In addition to mouse and rat, human and sheep have the intronic DMR, but only the sheep maintains imprinting of IGF2R (Young et al., 2003). Human AIR RNA has not been detected in normal tissues or in placenta (Vu and Hoffman, 2000; Oudejans et al., 2001). Furthermore, the presence of an imprinted antisense Air transcript by itself does not absolutely determine the imprinting status of the Igf2r. In the mouse CNS, Igf2r is expressed biallelically despite the expression of the imprinted Air transcript (Hu et al., 1999). However, in primary cell cultures of mouse neurons, the levels of the Air transcript are very low or undetectable while Igf2r is not imprinted (Yamasaki et al., 2005).

Coordinating the epigenetic marks: the roles of histone modification over DNA methylation

DNA methylation and histone modification represent the major epigenetic marks of *IGF2R*. The presence of *IGF2R* DMR regions is limited to a few species, and histone modification data are limited to histone acetylation, H3-K4Me and H3-K9Me. Nevertheless, the study of human/mouse *IGF2R* suggests that enrichment of parental allele-specific histone acetylation and histone methylation in the promoter and DMR regions, rather than the presence of DMR2 or antisense transcripts, correctly identifies the species-specific and tissue-specific imprinting status of *IGF2R* in human and in mouse (Fig. 2).

How do these epigenetic marks coordinate to govern IGF2R imprinting? Histone modifications (H3-K9Me3) may interact with DNA methylation to mark a specific promoter on one parental allele for silencing of transcription. Transcription activation of the other parental allele requires histone acetylation and H3-K4Me in the promoter, in conjunction with the absence of H3-K9Me3 and the absence of DNA methylation. Therefore, the presence of repressive epigenetic marks such as H3-K9Me3 and DNA methylation is sufficient to silence the promoter, while their absence only frees its promoter from the suppression. The un-suppressed allele would require further active modifications, such as histone acetylation and H3-K4Me, and transcriptional activators for transcription to occur. The lack of active histone modifications in the human IGF2R therefore correlates with the absence of the IGF2R antisense transcript, AIR. Lack of transcriptional activator(s) might correlate with the absence of the mouse Air in neurons from primary cortical cultures (Yamasaki et al., 2005). The epigenetic model for the neurons would be similar to the model of the mouse brain in Fig. 2 without the presence of Air.

In conjunction with DNA methylation, histone modification constitutes a heritable epigenetic signature that is faithfully replicated in daughter cells after cell division (for a recent review, see Hoffman and Vu, 2005). How do these epigenetic marks establish and maintain as an 'imprint mark' during development? The mouse DMR2 methylation is acquired in the postnatal growing oocyte along with other paternally expressed genes Snrpn, Peg1, and Peg2. As shown in the establishment of methylation in the Snrpn DMR, after complete erasure of previous methylation, a de novo methvlation mark is acquired asynchronously with epigenetic memory of parental origin (Lucifero et al., 2004), suggesting a role of epigenetic memory in the absence of DNA methylation. Histone modifications may serve as an epigenetic memory in the absence of DNA methylation. The histone modification patterns directly correlate with differential expression patterns of IGF2R in both human and mouse. DNA methylation does not control imprinted expression of all of the genes found in a cluster of imprinted genes located at the imprinting center 2 (IC2) on mouse chromosome 7 (Caspary et al., 1998; Tanaka et al., 1999). In embryonic stem (ES) cells and in extra embryonic tissues (placenta), the imprinting of distal genes (\sim 700 kb region flanking the IC2) does not depend upon DNA methylation, but is consistently associated with H3-K9Me and H3-K27Me in the silenced allele, and H3-KAc and H3-K4Me in the expressed allele (Umlauf et al., 2004).

Conclusion and future development

In summary, we have examined only a part of the gamut of epigenetic marks governing IGF2R imprinting in human and mouse. Overall, DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and histone methylation play a vital role in coordinating IGF2R allelic expression. The association of other modifications, including histone phosphorylation (H3-Serine10) in the active allele, H4-K20Me and H3-K27Me in the silent allele (Schotta et al., 2004; Martens et al., 2005), requires further study. In addition, the role of histone ADP-ribosylation (Yu et al., 2004) and the potential for chromatin looping (Murrell et al., 2004; Horike et al., 2005) may need to be addressed.

Finally, we have found that human *IGF2R* is not imprinted in more than 100 informative samples including various tumor tissues. The finding of rare monoallelic or skewed allelic expression may correlate with familial clustering of abnormal DNA methylation ratios (Sandovici et al., 2003). The possibility of slightly skewed ratios in allelic expression or DNA modifications and their biological importance warrants further rigorous study.

References

- Barlow DP, Stoger R, Herrmann BG, Saito K, Schweifer N: The mouse insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor is imprinted and closely linked to the *Tme* locus. Nature 349:84–87 (1991).
- Birger Y, Shemer R, Perk J, Razin A: The imprinting box of the mouse Igf2r gene. Nature 397:84–88 (1999).
- Caspary T, Cleary MA, Baker CC, Guan XJ, Tilghman SM: Multiple mechanisms regulate imprinting of the mouse distal chromosome 7 gene cluster. Mol Cell Biol 18:3466–3474 (1998).
- De Souza AT, Hankins GR, Washington MK, Orton TC, Jirtle RL: M6P/IGF2R gene is mutated in human hepatocellular carcinomas with loss of heterozygosity. Nat Genet 11:447–449 (1995).
- Fournier C, Goto Y, Ballestar E, Delaval K, Hever AM, Esteller M, Feil R: Allele-specific histone lysine methylation marks regulatory regions at imprinted mouse genes. EMBO J 21:6560–6570 (2002).
- Geiman TM, Robertson KD: Chromatin remodeling histone modifications and DNA methylation – how does it all fit together? J Cell Biochem 87: 117–125 (2002).
- Grewal SI, Moazed D: Heterochromatin and epigenetic control of gene expression. Science 301: 798–802 (2003).
- Hankins GR, De Souza AT, Bentley RC, Patel MR, Marks JR, Iglehart JD, Jirtle RL: M6P/IGF2 receptor: a candidate breast tumor suppressor gene. Oncogene 12:2003–2009 (1996).
- Hoffman AR, Vu TH: The histone code and epigenetic inheritance, in Jorde LB, Little PFR, Dunn MJ, Subramaniam S (eds): Encyclopedia of Genetics, Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics, Part 1 Genetics (13 Epigenetics) (John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken 2005).
- Horike S, Cai S, Miyano M, Cheng JF, Kohwi-Shigematsu T: Loss of silent-chromatin looping and impaired imprinting of *DLX5* in Rett syndrome. Nat Genet 37:31–40 (2005).
- Hu JF, Balaguru KA, Ivaturi RD, Oruganti H, Li T, Nguyen BT, Vu TH, Hoffman AR: Lack of reciprocal genomic imprinting of sense and antisense RNA of mouse insulin-like growth factor II receptor in the central nervous system. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 257:604–608 (1999).
- Jamieson TA, Brizel DM, Killian JK, Oka Y, Jang HS, Fu X, Clough RW, Vollmer RT, Anscher MS, Jirtle RL: M6P/IGF2R loss of heterozygosity in head and neck cancer associated with poor patient prognosis. BMC Cancer 3:4 (2003).

- Jenuwein T, Allis CD: Translating the histone code. Science 293:1074–1080 (2001).
- Kalscheuer VM, Mariman EC, Schepens MT, Rehder H, Ropers HH: The insulin-like growth factor type-2 receptor gene is imprinted in the mouse but not in humans. Nat Genet 5:74–78 (1993).
- Killian JK, Byrd JC, Jirtle JV, Munday BL, Stoskopf MK, MacDonald RG, Jirtle RL: M6P/IGF2R imprinting evolution in mammals. Mol Cell 5:707– 716 (2000).
- Killian JK, Buckley TR, Stewart N, Munday BL, Jirtle RL: Marsupials and eutherians reunited: genetic evidence for the Theria hypothesis of mammalian evolution. Mamm Genome 12:513– 517 (2001a).
- Killian JK, Nolan CM, Stewart N, Munday BL, Andersen NA, Nicol S, Jirtle RL: Monotreme *IGF2* expression and ancestral origin of genomic imprinting. J Exp Zool 291:205–212 (2001b).
- Killian JK, Nolan CM, Wylie AA, Li T, Vu TH, Hoffman AR, Jirtle RL: Divergent evolution in M6P/ IGF2R imprinting from the Jurassic to the Quaternary. Hum Mol Genet 10:1721–1728 (2001c).
- Kong FM, Anscher MS, Washington MK, Killian JK, Jirtle RL: M6P/IGF2R is mutated in squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. Oncogene 19:1572– 1578 (2000).
- Lau MM, Stewart CE, Liu Z, Bhatt H, Rotwein P, Stewart CL: Loss of the imprinted IGF2/cationindependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor results in fetal overgrowth and perinatal lethality. Genes Dev 8:2953–2963 (1994).
- Lucifero D, Mann MR, Bartolomei MS, Trasler JM: Gene-specific timing and epigenetic memory in oocyte imprinting. Hum Mol Genet 13:839–849 (2004).
- Ludwig T, Eggenschwiler J, Fisher P, D'Ercole AJ, Davenport ML, Efstratiadis A: Mouse mutants lacking the type 2 IGF receptor (IGF2R) are rescued from perinatal lethality in *Igf2* and *Igf1r* null backgrounds. Dev Biol 177:517–535 (1996).
- Martens JH, O'Sullivan RJ, Braunschweig U, Opravil S, Radolf M, Steinlein P, Jenuwein T: The profile of repeat-associated histone lysine methylation states in the mouse epigenome. EMBO J 24:800–812 (2005).
- McCann JA, Xu YQ, Frechette R, Guazzarotti L, Polychronakos C: The insulin-like growth factor-II receptor gene is associated with type 1 diabetes: evidence of a maternal effect. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89:5700–5706 (2004).

- Melnick M, Chen H, Buckley S, Warburton D, Jaskoll T: Insulin-like growth factor II receptor, transforming growth factor-beta and Cdk4 expression, and the developmental epigenetics of mouse palate morphogenesis and dysmorphogenesis. Dev Dyn 211:11–25 (1998).
- Mills JJ, Falls JG, De Souza AT, Jirtle RL: Imprinted M6p/Igf2 receptor is mutated in rat liver tumors. Oncogene 16:2797–2802 (1998).
- Motyka B, Korbutt G, Pinkoski MJ, Heibein JA, Caputo A, Hobman M, Barry M, Shostak I, Sawchuk T, Holmes CF, Gauldie J, Bleackley RC: Mannose 6-phosphate/insulin-like growth factor II receptor is a death receptor for granzyme B during cytotoxic T cell-induced apoptosis. Cell 103:491–500 (2000).
- Murphy SK, Jirtle RL: Imprinting evolution and the price of silence. Bioessays 25:577–588 (2003).
- Murrell A, Heeson S, Reik W: Interaction between differentially methylated regions partitions the imprinted genes *Igf2* and *H19* into parent-specific chromatin loops. Nat Genet 36:889–893 (2004).
- Nolan CM, Killian JK, Petitte JN, Jirtle RL: Imprint status of *M6P/IGF2R* and *IGF2* in chickens. Dev Genes Evol 211:179–183 (2001).
- Oates AJ, Schumaker LM, Jenkins SB, Pearce AA, DaCosta SA, Arun B, Ellis MJ: The mannose 6phosphate/insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor (*M6P/IGF2R*), a putative breast tumor suppressor gene. Breast Cancer Res Treat 47:269–281 (1998).
- O'Neill MJ, Ingram RS, Vrana PB, Tilghman SM: Allelic expression of *IGF2* in marsupials and birds. Dev Genes Evol 210:18–20 (2000).
- Oudejans CB, Westerman B, Wouters D, Gooyer S, Leegwater PA, van Wijk IJ, Sleutels F: Allelic *IGF2R* repression does not correlate with expression of antisense RNA in human extraembryonic tissues. Genomics 73:331–337 (2001).
- Piao Z, Choi Y, Park C, Lee WJ, Park JH, Kim H: Deletion of the *M6P/IGF2R* gene in primary hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 120:39–43 (1997).
- Regha K, Latos PA, Spahn L: The imprinted mouse Igf2r/Air cluster – a model maternal imprinting system. Cytogenet Genome Res 113:165–177 (2006).
- Riesewijk AM, Schepens MT, Welch TR, van den Berg-Loonen EM, Mariman EM, Ropers HH, Kalscheuer VM: Maternal-specific methylation of the human IGF2R gene is not accompanied by allele-specific transcription. Genomics 31:158– 166 (1996).

- Riesewijk AM, Xu YQ, Schepens MT, Mariman EM, Polychronakos C, Ropers HH, Kalscheuer VM: Absence of an obvious molecular imprinting mechanism in a human fetus with monoallelic *IGF2R* expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 245:272–277 (1998).
- Sandovici I, Leppert M, Hawk PR, Suarez A, Linares Y, Sapienza C: Familial aggregation of abnormal methylation of parental alleles at the *IGF2/H19* and *IGF2R* differentially methylated regions. Hum Mol Genet 12:1569–1578 (2003).
- Schotta G, Lachner M, Sarma K, Ebert A, Sengupta R, Reuter G, Reinberg D, Jenuwein T: A silencing pathway to induce H3-K9 and H4-K20 trimethylation at constitutive heterochromatin. Genes Dev 18:1251–1262 (2004).
- Sleutels F, Zwart R, Barlow DP: The non-coding Air RNA is required for silencing autosomal imprinted genes. Nature 415:810–813 (2002).
- Sleutels F, Tjon G, Ludwig T, Barlow DP: Imprinted silencing of *Slc22a2* and *Slc22a3* does not need transcriptional overlap between *Igf2r* and *Air*. EMBO J 22:3696–3704 (2003).
- Smrzka OW, Fae I, Stoger R, Kurzbauer R, Fischer GF, Henn T, Weith A, Barlow DP: Conservation of a maternal-specific methylation signal at the human *IGF2R* locus. Hum Mol Genet 4:1945– 1952 (1995).
- Spotswood HT, Turner BM: An increasingly complex code. J Clin Invest 110:577–582 (2002).
- Tanaka M, Puchyr M, Gertsenstein M, Harpal K, Jaenisch R, Rossant J, Nagy A: Parental originspecific expression of *Mash2* is established at the time of implantation with its imprinting mechanism highly resistant to genome-wide demethylation. Mech Dev 87:129–142 (1999).
- Turner BM: Cellular memory and the histone code. Cell 111:285–291 (2002).

- Umlauf D, Goto Y, Cao R, Cerqueira F, Wagschal A, Zhang Y, Feil R: Imprinting along the *Kcnq1* domain on mouse chromosome 7 involves repressive histone methylation and recruitment of Polycomb group complexes. Nat Genet 36:1296– 1300 (2004).
- Vu TH, Hoffman AR: Comparative genomics sheds light on mechanisms of genomic imprinting. Genome Res 10:1660–1663 (2000).
- Vu TH, Li T, Hoffman AR: Promoter-restricted histone code not the differentially methylated DNA regions or antisense transcripts marks the imprinting status of *IGF2R* in human and mouse. Hum Mol Genet 13:2233–2245 (2004).
- Wang ZQ, Fung MR, Barlow DP, Wagner EF: Regulation of embryonic growth and lysosomal targeting by the imprinted *Igf2/Mpr* gene. Nature 372: 464–467 (1994).
- Weidman JR, Murphy SK, Nolan CM, Dietrich FS, Jirtle RL: Phylogenetic footprint analysis of IGF2 in extant mammals. Genome Res 14:1726– 1732 (2004).
- Wilkins JF: Genomic imprinting and methylation: epigenetic canalization and conflict. Trends Genet 21:356–365 (2005).
- Wilkins JF, Haig D: What good is genomic imprinting: the function of parent-specific gene expression. Nat Rev Genet 4:359–368 (2003).
- Wutz A, Smrzka OW, Schweifer N, Schellander K, Wagner EF, Barlow DP: Imprinted expression of the *Igf2r* gene depends on an intronic CpG island. Nature 389:745–749 (1997).
- Xu Y, Goodyer CG, Deal C, Polychronakos C: Functional polymorphism in the parental imprinting of the human *IGF2R* gene. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 197:747–754 (1993).
- Xu YQ, Grundy P, Polychronakos C: Aberrant imprinting of the insulin-like growth factor II receptor gene in Wilms' tumor. Oncogene 14:1041– 1046 (1997).

- Yamada T, De Souza AT, Finkelstein S, Jirtle RL: Loss of the gene encoding mannose 6-phosphate/ insulin-like growth factor II receptor is an early event in liver carcinogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 94:10351–10355 (1997).
- Yamasaki Y, Kayashima T, Soejima H, Kinoshita A, Yoshiura KI, Matsumoto N, Ohta T, Urano T, Masuzaki H, Ishimaru T, Mukai T, Niikawa N, Kishino T: Neuron-specific relaxation of *Igf2r* imprinting is associated with neuron-specific histone modifications and lack of its antisense transcript Air. Hum Mol Genet 14:2511–2520 (2005).
- Yang Y, Li T, Vu TH, Ulaner GA, Hu JF, Hoffman AR: The histone code regulating expression of the imprinted mouse *Ig/2r* gene. Endocrinology 144: 5658–5670 (2003).
- Yokomine T, Kuroiwa A, Tanaka K, Tsudzuki M, Matsuda Y, Sasaki H: Sequence polymorphisms, allelic expression status and chromosome locations of the chicken *IGF2* and *MPR1* genes. Cytogenet Cell Genet 93:109–113 (2001).
- Young LE, Fernandes K, McEvoy TG, Butterwith SC, Gutierrez CG, Carolan C, Broadbent PJ, Robinson JJ, Wilmut I, Sinclair KD: Epigenetic change in IGF2R is associated with fetal overgrowth after sheep embryo culture. Nat Genet 27: 153–154 (2001).
- Young LE, Schnieke AE, McCreath KJ, Wieckowski S, Konfortova G, Fernandes K, Ptak G, Kind AJ, Wilmut I, Loi P, Feil R: Conservation of *IGF2-H19* and *IGF2R* imprinting in sheep: effects of somatic cell nuclear transfer. Mech Dev 120: 1433–1442 (2003).
- Yu W, Ginjala V, Pant V, Chernukhin I, Whitehead J, Docquier F, et al: Poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation regulates CTCF-dependent chromatin insulation. Nat Genet 36:1105–1110 (2004).